Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary All Participants: (1) Stevan A. Resan. (2) Bruce E. Kramer. Date of Interview: 19 December 2005	Examiner Stevan A. Resan Status of Application: (3) (4) Time: cant's representative)	AZAKAMI ET AL. Art Unit 1773
All Participants: (1) <u>Stevan A. Resan</u> . (2) <u>Bruce E. Kramer</u> .	Stevan A. Resan Status of Application: (3) (4) Time:	1773
(1) <u>Stevan A. Resan</u> . (2) <u>Bruce E. Kramer</u> .	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Stevan A. Resan</u> . (2) <u>Bruce E. Kramer</u> .	(3) (4) Time:	
(2) <u>Bruce E. Kramer</u> .	(4) Time:	
.,	Time:	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2005		
	cant's representative)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
•		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 2		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The examiner suggested claim language that more accurately incorporated in an examiner's amendment.	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA described the claimed volume hol	AS DISCUSSED: ogram layer. The language will be
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ☐ of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	the examiner will provide a wri	ten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)